Cole Leleux 6/30/2023

```
Page 84
1
                        C. LELEUX
 2
           7, the order that I'm presenting them
 3
           to you is the order in which these
 4
           documents were provided to the
 5
           government by Chase. I didn't put
           the order together, and you should be
           able to see that with the number on
 7
 8
           the bottom of each page of this
 9
           exhibit starting with SB. No, sorry.
10
           The number on the bottom right.
11
           where it says 132 on the last page?
12
           That's the page numbers that were
13
           provided to us by Chase. That's just
14
           an FYI. Amy, you can take that down.
15
           (So doing.)
16
          Q. Mr. Leleux, I'm just going to change
17
      up topics, okay?
18
          Α.
              Yes, sir.
19
              I'm going to ask about a time period
20
      from December of 2020 to the beginning of
21
      July '21, okay?
22
          Α.
              Yes, sir.
23
              I'm going to ask about the marketing
2.4
      of the FRT-15, okay?
25
          A. Okay.
```

```
Page 85
1
                        C. LELEUX
2
             And the FRT-15 is the Forced Reset
          Q.
 3
      Trigger that RBT was selling, right?
 4
              That Rare Breed Triggers was
5
      selling, yes, sir.
              In the public marketing of the
7
      FRT-15, was there any mention of the FRT
8
     being submitted to the ATF for
9
      classification?
10
          A. No, sir.
11
          Q. Was there any mention during that
12
      time period in marketing for the FRT-15 of
13
      the AR-1?
14
              In the beginning, I don't know that
          Α.
15
      anyone at RBT really knew what the AR-1
16
      really fully was, so it absolutely was not
17
      mentioned. And again, it was -- and I just
18
      want to make sure you are okay with this, but
19
      I don't want to answer a yes or a no where I
20
      feel like it's leaving out of context.
21
          Ο.
             Please do. Go ahead.
22
              The answer is no, we didn't mention
          Α.
23
      the AR-1. But, A, in the beginning, I don't
2.4
      think any of us really knew what the AR-1
25
      was. And, B, once we found out what it was,
```

Page 86 1 C. LELEUX 2 it was different and worked differently and 3 functioned differently and wasn't relevant to 4 what we were doing. 5 Let me ask you about that. How do you think the AR-1 is different? 7 It's different for patent purposes because the trigger makes direct rigid 9 mechanical contact with the bolt carrier. 10 And instead of on the FRT-15, the bolt 11 carrier makes contact with the hammer, which 12 then makes contact with the trigger. And 13 that's, again, kind of a nuance difference, 14 but then think about the 3MR where it's bolt 15 carrier to hammer to the little arm that 16 flies up to trigger. So the 3MR is, 17 essentially -- that portion of it, the same 18 things are happening, but it's different. So 19 that's one difference. And then the other 20 primary difference is that the FRT-15 had a 21 locking bar, which prevented the possibility 22 of hammer follow. And let me clarify. 23 doesn't preclude hammer follow, what it 2.4 precludes is hammer follow that could result 25 in automatic fire. Because you can get

Page 87 1 C. LELEUX 2 hammer follow with an FRT-15, but it's only 3 because there is not enough gas to cycle the 4 qun, so it didn't force the reset. And the 5 hammer follows, but it can only result in a failure, it can't result in automatic fire. 7 And that's what I meant earlier when I said hammer follow, if properly timed, could 9 result in automatic fire as to where once you 10 have that locking bar, there is no way for it 11 to be properly timed and accidentally result in the automatic fire, it's not possible. 12 13 Any other differences between the 14 AR-1 and the FRT-15 in your view? 15 I mean, I think I told you before. 16 To accomplish the idea of the bolt making 17 direct rigid mechanical contact with the 18 trigger itself, it required a modified bolt 19 and a few different parts. But again, I've 20 never actually looked at the AR-1 in-person. 21 I've only seen now the pictures and stuff 22 that were submitted in the administrative 23 record in the case in Florida. So I have 2.4 seen the pictures of it. It looks like a 25 welded together mess, but my understanding of

Page 88 1 C. LELEUX 2 the mechanics mostly come from the 3 intellectual property lawsuit stuff. The next set of questions I'm not Ο. 5 limiting it to timeframe, so you may answer the question in a way that suggests that 7 things changed over time. What was Rare Breed Triggers' policy for refunds with 8 9 respect to the FRT-15? 10 Okay. So all of the questions about 11 Rare Breed Triggers -- and if you want me to 12 keep repeating this every time, I will, but I 13 am going to tell you my understanding of it 14 as a consultant. And I, obviously, was 15 involved quite a bit in conversations, but I 16 didn't necessarily hit go on the final thing. 17 So I don't have the final verbiage a hundred 18 percent, but I will give you my 19 understanding. 20 Q. Yes, and I will accept that. 21 will accept all of that. And if you need to 22 make any further limitations or caveats in 23 any particular questions, please do, okay? 2.4 Α. Okay. So my understanding of the 25 refund policy from day one was there are no